## LEADERS IN WATER



1620 I Street, NW, Suite 500 Washington, DC 20006 P 202.331.2820 amwa.net

October 25, 2022

Dr. Alison Cullen Chair, EPA Science Advisory Board 1300 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20004

Re: Charge to the Science Advisory Board (SAB) Consultation on the Lead and Copper Rule Improvements (LCRI)

Dear Dr. Alison Cullen:

The Association of Metropolitan Water Agencies (AMWA) welcomes the opportunity to provide feedback regarding the EPA SAB consultation on the Lead and Copper Rule Improvements (LCRI). AMWA is an organization representing the largest publicly owned drinking water utilities in the United States, and collectively its membership serves more than 160 million people. Our members represent diverse metropolitan areas and have long been working to identify and replace lead service lines in their service areas. The association has been involved with the Lead and Copper Rule since its inception and values the work that EPA has done to decrease the risk of lead and copper to public health while prioritizing environmental justice.

AMWA's comments respond to the charge questions addressed explicitly below.

## **Charge Questions**

Charge Question 1. Tools, Indicators, and Metrics for the Lead and Copper Rule Improvements Case Studies – a. Please comment on the tools/indicators/metrics, such as the recently released Environmental Justice Index (EJI) and Climate and Economic Justice Screening Tool (CJEST), that EPA should consider using when developing lead service line replacement case studies to support the development of the Lead and Copper Rule Improvements environmental justice analysis.

## BOARD OF DIRECTORS

PRESIDENT Angela Licata New York City Department of Environmental Protection

Mike Armstrong WaterOne Robert Hunter

Municipal Water District of Orange County

John P. Sullivan, Jr.

Poston Water and Source

John P. Sullivan, Jr. Boston Water and Sewer Commission VICE PRESIDENT
John Entsminger
Las Vegas Valley Water
District

Tad Bohannon Central Arkansas Water Ghassan Korban Sewerage and Water Board of

New Orleans
Timothy Thomure
Tucson Water

TREASURER Yvonne Forrest Houston Water

Shane Chapman Metropolitan Water District of Southern California

Carrie Lewis Portland Water District Paul Vojtek

Erie Water Works

SECRETARY Jeffrey Szabo Suffolk County Water Authority

Scott Dewhirst Tacoma Water

James S. Lochhead Denver Water Calvin Farr Prince William County Service Authority

Joe Mantua Beaufort Jasper Water & Sewer Authority CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER Tom Dobbins

Randy E. Hayman Philadelphia Water Department

Holly Rosenthal Phoenix Water Services Department Regarding the Council on Environmental Quality's (CEQ) Climate and Economic Justice Screening Tool, there are some deficiencies that AMWA believes makes it ineffective for assessing environmental justice impacts in replacing lead service lines. Most significantly, the tool does not include any criteria directly related to drinking water. There are tools that track compliance with EPA drinking water regulations that could assist in identifying areas where standards are not met. The Enforcement and Compliance History Online (ECHO) system includes public water systems data from the EPA's Safe Drinking Water Information System (SDWIS) database. The SDWIS database includes information on all public water systems in the nation and SDWA violation information for each public water system. AMWA would suggest using data on lead in drinking water to inform environmental justice considerations in development of LCRI case studies.

Charge Question 1, b. Given the identified tools and indices (i.e., EJScreen, SVI, ADI) please comment on whether there is there a sub-set of variables within the indices which should be given higher weights in the Lead and Copper Rule Improvements environmental justice assessment.

The EPA SAB should prioritize environmental justice screening tools that prioritize lead service line replacement in areas with both lead service lines and young children, as lead's detrimental health effects are most pronounced in young children.

## **Other Considerations Not Addressed in the Charge Questions**

The screening tools under consideration do not consider several factors that go into assessing water affordability in a water utility service area beyond measures of low income. Many of these factors, like cost of living in a particular area, percent of income spent on water services, aging water infrastructure, and water availability are expected to put upward pressure on local water rates in the coming years and are not incorporated into the tools considered.

Additionally, tools that screen geographic units at fine scales would benefit water utilities most as they develop plans to prioritize areas for lead service line (LSL) replacement. As part of the LCRR, utilities are currently hard at work developing LSL inventories and plans to replace LSLs. These inventories could be coupled with tools to identify disadvantaged communities with an elevated risk of lead in drinking water. Identifying and prioritizing lead service line replacement at a Census tract level is a more significant endeavor than identifying and prioritizing replacement at a Census block level. Environmental justice screening tools that analyze geographic units at the block and block group levels (EPA's EJ Screen, the Area Deprivation Index (ADI)) should be considered above those that analyze units at the census tract level (e.g., the CDC/ATSDR Social Vulnerability Index, CDC's Environmental Justice Index (EJI)).

Dr. Alison Cullen October 25, 2022 Page 3

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. If you have any questions about this letter, please contact Brian Redder, AMWA's Manager of Regulatory and Scientific Affairs at <a href="redder@amwa.net">redder@amwa.net</a> or Jessica Evans, AMWA's Government Affairs Associate at <a href="evans@amwa.net">evans@amwa.net</a>.

Sincerely,

Thomas Dobbins

Chief Executive Officer

Thomas Salling

cc: Dr. Jennifer McLain, EPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water Eric Burneson, EPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water

Dr. Thomas Armitage, Designated Federal Officer, EPA Science Advisory Board