LEADERS IN WATER



1620 I Street, NW, Suite 500 Washington, DC 20006 **P** 202.331.2820 **F** 202.785.1845 amwa.net

June 16, 2020

The Honorable Alexandra Dapolito Dunn Assistant Administrator Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention Environmental Protection Agency

Re: Docket ID: EPA-HQ-OPPT-2020-0222, Significant New Use Rules: Certain Chemical Substances (20-5.B)

Dear Assistant Administrator Dunn,

The Association of Metropolitan Water Agencies (AMWA) is an organization representing the largest publicly owned drinking water utilities in the United States. Pollution prevention is paramount in protecting water sources for public water supply. For this reason, AMWA feels it is imperative to emphasize the importance of protecting drinking water sources through programs like the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA). These programs are the first line of defense against the growing number of contaminants that could pose a risk to drinking water supplies and the public.

Our ability to test for chemicals in our environment has grown exponentially, and we are now aware of the persistent, bioaccumulative, and possible toxic characteristics of chemicals we once thought inert or non-problematic. The most recent and dramatic examples of this are the complex issues surrounding per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS). These chemicals have been used for decades, but as our knowledge of these substances has grown, PFAS have been shown to be increasingly problematic. PFAS have highlighted the overwhelming need to better evaluate chemicals before allowing them to be used in commerce in order to prevent chemicals that may pose health risks from entering the environment and contaminating source waters.

Preventing pollutants from entering drinking water supply sources is a complex task. It is easier, more effective and more equitable to control pollutants at the source, where they are highly concentrated, than it is to remove them at the consumer's expense after they have entered a water body or supply source. Controlling pollutants at the source – in this case at the point of manufacture, import or process – also helps ensure that those who pollute our natural resources are not allowed to pass the cost of correcting the problem onto others.

AMWA has concerns with one particular substance listed in the latest Significant New Use Rule (SNUR) (85 *FR* 29907) and have expanded on these concerns below.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

PRESIDENT Steve Schneider Saint Paul Regional Water Services

Mike Armstrong WaterOne

Yvonne Forrest Houston Public Utilities Division

James S. Lochhead Denver Water VICE PRESIDENT

Angela Licata New York City Department of Environmental Protection

Shane Chapman Metropolitan Water District of Southern California

Richard Harasick Los Angeles Department of Water and Power

Ron Lovan Northern Kentucky Water District TREASURER John Entsminger Las Vegas Valley Water

District

Robert L. Davis Cleveland Department of Public Utilities

Robert Hunter Municipal Water District of Orange County

Sue McCormick Great Lakes Water Authority SECRETARY Kathryn Sorensen Phoenix Water Services

Scott Dewhirst Tacoma Water

Ghassan Korban New Orleans Water and Sewer Board

Jeffrey Szabo Suffolk County Water Authority CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER Diane VanDe Hei

Steve Edgemon Fairfax Water

Carrie Lewis Portland Water District

John P. Sullivan, Jr. Boston Water and Sewer Commission Assistant Administrator Dunn June 16, 2020 Page 2

PMN Number(s): P-17-0086

Chemical Name(s): Cycloalkyl, bis(ethoxyalkyl)-, cis- (generic) (P-17-86, chemical A) and Cycloalkyl,

bis(ethoxyalkyl)-, trans- (generic) (P–17–86, chemical B)

Within the notice, EPA identified concerns for reproductive toxicity, skin irritation, and specific target organ toxicity if the chemicals are not used following the limitations noted within the SNUR. The notice goes on to require that there be no releases to waters of the United States that would exceed 330 ppb.

The information included in the docket states that migration of these chemicals to groundwater is expected to be moderate to rapid. As groundwater may be used as a source for drinking water, EPA should be especially cautious with chemicals that have this particular attribute. Information within the docket also states that removal of these substances during wastewater treatment is expected to be between near 50%. AMWA is concerned with the allowance of this chemical into surface waters when there is information stating that removal from wastewater will be so difficult.

AMWA cautions against allowing for releases of this chemical into surface waters due to possible unforeseen risks in the future. Surface waters are often the source waters for drinking water utilities. Therefore, any allowance of chemical discharges to these waters should be made with this in mind, using scientifically sound data that is made readily available to the public for review and comment.

Comments Related to the Process as a Whole

AMWA greatly appreciates the agency's procedure of grouping all documents related to a single chemical within one folder in the docket. AMWA encourages the agency to continue this practice with future SNURS. Previous notices have not used this procedure and it forced those wishing to review specific data related to a single chemical to sift through dozens, if not hundreds, of documents. Reviewing a volume of documents this large is a cumbersome task and undermines the intent of the comment period by impeding the public's access to information necessary to provide the agency with meaningful comments. AMWA also recommends that EPA continue to include the agency's PMN determination for each chemical included in future SNURs and clearly mark them within the docket. These decision documents provide a quick and more easily digestible overview of the available information for each chemical within a SNUR and allow the public an opportunity to better understand the reasoning for EPA's decision and provide the most useful and appropriate comments.

AMWA is concerned with EPA's method of obtaining "Potentially Useful Information". The agency states that the orders do not require testing to help determine potential health and/or environmental effects. This is problematic as the only incentive for manufacturers or users of these chemicals to obtain and submit this information is so that a modification or revoking of the PMN would be allowed. This approach provides a disincentive for additional study that could reveal more harmful health effects since disclosure of new information to the agency could prompt further investigation by EPA. Additional study would likely not remove the PMN and could possibly result in more federal restrictions on the chemical.

AMWA also recommends that EPA reconsider approvals in future SNURs for chemicals that are known to have an acute toxicity to human health and have been identified as a potential contaminant of concern in

drinking water supplies. The Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics (OPPT) should coordinate with the EPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water (OGWDW), which not only oversees the Safe Drinking Water Act implementation but also may have on its radar many of the chemicals being considered in this and future SNURs as potential drinking water contaminants. Furthermore, AMWA strongly encourages OPPT to utilize the knowledge base of the drinking water program at EPA's OGWDW to better inform decision making for future SNURs.

TSCA provides significant tools to help prevent harmful pollution. In addition to TSCA, the agency should consider how our current system of environmental regulation can be leveraged to protect human health and the environment across multiple media. Preventing pollution at the source is a more cost-effective option for protecting public health rather than relying solely on end-of-pipe treatment to ensure safe drinking water. Additional loadings into the environment of minimally studied chemicals, such as the ones identified in this letter, could result in future problems for source water protection and ultimately necessitate additional drinking water treatment at a high cost to the public.

It is crucial to strive towards the prevention of pollutants entering drinking water sources. TSCA provides us with a unique opportunity to protect the environment and public health. AMWA thanks EPA for the opportunity to comment and looks forward to working with the agency to protect drinking water sources in the future.

If you would like to further discuss our concerns, please contact Stephanie Hayes Schlea, AMWA's Director of Regulatory and Scientific Affairs, at schlea@amwa.net.

Sincerely,

Diane VanDe Hei Chief Executive Officer

ine the De Har

cc: David Ross, Assistant Administrator, Office of Water Jennifer McLain, Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water Eric Burneson, Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water Kenneth Moss, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics